$C$ ito this: Ora, Piomo Cite this: *Org. Biomol. Chem.,* 2012, **10**, 1553



# Inter- and intramolecular Mitsunobu reaction and metal complexation study: synthesis of S-amino acids derived chiral 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxaline, benzo-annulated [9]-N<sub>3</sub> peraza, [12]-N<sub>4</sub> peraza-macrocycles†

Krishnananda Samanta,<sup>*a*</sup> Nitin Srivastava,<sup>*b*</sup> Satyen Saha<sup>*b*</sup> and Gautam Panda<sup>\**a*</sup>

Received 1st August 2011, Accepted 27th October 2011 DOI: 10.1039/c1ob06304a

Substituted 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxaline, benzo-annulated unsymmetrical chiral [9]-N<sub>3</sub> peraza, and [12]-N4 peraza-macrocycles have been synthesized employing an inter- and intramolecular Mitsunobu reaction from an amino acid derived common synthetic intermediate 3. The metal complexation study of these macrocycles has been investigated by UV-visible spectroscopic technique with binding constant  $(K_b)$ value  $1.84 \times 10^3$  dm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> using the Benesi–Hildebrand equation and a Gibbs free energy ( $\Delta G$ )  $-19.4$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> at 35 °C for 14d with Co<sup>2+</sup>. The binding properties of the metal were dependent on the structure of polyaza-macrocycles that were confirmed by the DFT optimized structure of two macrocycles. A detailed investigaton of UV-visible spectra of macrocycles and their complete interpretation with the help of TD-DFT along with the frontier molecular orbital calculations are presented. **Commute distance of the Contents for the Contents for the Contents for the Contents of Contents of Contents of Contents of Contents of Conte** 

# Introduction

Substituted 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxaline core is very a important structural unit, exhibiting a wide range of biological activities such as prostaglandin  $D2$  receptor<sup>1</sup> and vasopressin V2 receptor antagonists.<sup>2</sup> A common method to synthesize tetrahy $d$ roquinoxalines involves the reduction of quinoxalines, $3$  giving a mixture of diastereoisomers. Asymmetric hydrogenation of quinoxalines in the presence of a chiral catalyst or ligand is also important for the synthesis of optically active tetrahydro-quinoxalines.<sup>4</sup> An alternatative strategy involves the Pd catalyzed reaction of 1,4-butenediols and acetates with 1,2-diaminobenzene furnishing  $2$ -vinyl-1,2,3,4- tetrahydroquinoxalines.<sup>5</sup> However one of the major drawbacks of this strategy is that it is only applicable to symmetrically substituted 1,2-diaminobenzene. Furthermore, other methods involved intermolecular Michael additions,<sup>6</sup> the reduction of nitroarenes and  $S_N2$  cyclization with leaving groups,<sup>7,8</sup> tandem reduction-reductive amination reactions.<sup>9</sup> Eary and co-workers have described the Cp\*Ir-complex mediated hydrogen transfer N-heterocyclization of anilino alcohols.<sup>10</sup> High temperature and long reaction time are generally required in these methods.

Design and synthesis of chiral macrocycles are important areas of research because of their diverse range of applications such as enantiomeric recognition,<sup>11</sup> asymmetric catalysis<sup>12</sup> and complex formation with transition metal ions.<sup>13</sup> The azamacrocycles 1,4,7-triazacyclononanes have drawn much attention, because of their ability to bind with transition metal ions.<sup>14</sup> Due to the ability to bind with high oxidation state metal ions, 1,4,7 triazacyclononanes are used as redox metalloenzyme mimic,<sup>15</sup> oxidative catalysts for organic transformations,16 hydrolytic agents for the non-oxidative cleavage of  $DNA^{17}$  and  $RNA^{18}$ . Thus, an efficient synthetic route is highly desirable for accessing these chiral 1,4,7-triazacyclononanes. Careful literature search reveals that there are only few synthetic approaches for chiral symmetrical and unsymmetrical 1,4,7-triazacyclononanes<sup>19</sup> and other peraza-macrocycles.<sup>20</sup> Most of the reported procedures used Richman–Atkins cyclization<sup>21</sup> strategy to synthesize chiral 1,4,7-macrocycles. The yield of the reaction is not satisfactory and also high temperature is required. In some cases, ring cyclization has failed.19a Similarly, 12-membered 1,4,7,10-tetraaza-macrocycles also have the ability to stabilize high oxidation state metal ions<sup>22,23</sup> The metal complexes of these macrocycles are known to catalyze alkene epoxidation, electrochemical epoxide carboxylation and intramolecular reductive cyclization.<sup>24</sup>

We have been working on the synthesis and biology of Samino acids-based chiral heterocycles and natural product-like molecules.<sup>25</sup> From our previous knowledge,<sup>25k</sup> the Mitsunobu approach has been utilized for the construction of enatiomerically pure amino acids-derived tetrahydroquinoxaline derivatives. Moreover, the Mitsunobu reaction offers the advantage of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Medicinal and Process Chemistry Division, CSIR-Central Drug Research Institute, Lucknow, 226001 UP, India. Fax: 91-522-2623405; Tel: 91-522-2612411-18, Ext. 4385, 4603

b Department of Chemistry, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, 221 005 UP, India. E-mail: gautam.panda@gmail.com, gautam\_panda@ cdri.res.in

<sup>†</sup>Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c1ob06304a



Scheme 1 Retro synthetic analysis of substituted-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalines, benzo-annulated chiral [9]-N<sub>3</sub> peraza- and [12]-N<sub>4</sub> perazamacrocycles.

mild reaction conditions with a short reaction time giving rise to products with excellent yields. Herein, we report the utility of the Mitsunobu approach for the synthesis of tetrahydroquinoxalines and its versatility for accessing new types of unsymmetrical chiral benzo-annulated triaza- and tetraaza-macrocycles derived from S-amino acids and the metal complexation study of these macrocycles towards transition and alkaline-earth metal ions by a UV-vis technique with binding constant  $K<sub>b</sub>$  and Gibbs free energy  $\Delta G$  values.

## Results and discussion

## Retrosynthetic analysis and strategy

From a retrosynthetic point of view (Scheme 1), amino acidsbased chiral polycycles could be synthesized from a common intermediate E. The substituted-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalines G could be obtained from N-Ts-anilino carbinol F through intramolecular Mitsunobu cyclization. The anilino carbinol F can be obtained from LAH reduction of a common intermediate E followed by tosylation. Benzo-annulated triaza-macrocycles I could be prepared through an intramolecular Mitsunobu cyclization from an intermediate H which could be easily prepared again through an intermolecular Mitsunobu reaction between intermediate D and N-Ts-amino ester followed by selective ester reduction. Benzo-annulated tetraaza-macrocycles A can be easily constructed from B through intramolecular Mitsunobu cyclization. B could be easily prepared through intermolecular Mitsunobu cyclization of C with Boc-protected amino alcohol followed by Boc-deprotection, tosylation and LAH reduction of the ester group. The intermediate C can be synthesized easily from D through intermolecular Mitsunobu cyclization with N-Ts-amino esters. The common intermediate E could be easily prepared from  $S<sub>N</sub>Ar$  reaction between commercially available ortho-nitrofluorobenzene and amino acids.



Scheme 2 Synthesis of  $(S)$ -3-s-1-tosyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalines 6a–g.

# Synthesis of (S)-3-substituted-1-tosyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalines

S-Amino acids and substituted benzene derivatives were used as building blocks for the synthesis of substituted tetrahydroquinoxalines, (Scheme 2). S-Amino acids 2a–g were reacted with ortho-nitrofluorobenzene via a  $S<sub>N</sub>Ar$  pathway in the presence of  $K_2CO_3$  and DMF at 90 °C to afford 2-nitrobenzene protected amino acids. These amino acid derivatives were converted to their methyl esters  $3a-g$  in the presence of SOCl<sub>2</sub> and MeOH. In addition, it was interesting to know whether any racemization of the amino acids occurred upon nucleophilic aromatic substitution. The chiral HPLC of 3b and 3f showed that the nucleophilic aromatic substitution of amino acids on orthonitrofluorobenzene occurred without any racemization (see ESI).† We used reference compounds {mixture of S-3b and its enantiomer  $R-3b$  to prove the condition that was appropriate for the separation of racemic compounds. The lithium aluminum hydride reduction of 3a–g was interesting.

When 1 equiv of LAH was used at  $0^{\circ}$ C in dry THF, the ester was reduced to carbinol along with formation of anilino carbinols in 5 min (10–15% yield). Use of 3 equiv of LAH from 0 °C to room temperature in dry THF for half an hour furnished desired anilino carbinols in 20–45% yield. Alternatively, anilino carbinols could be synthesized from ester reduction of 3a–g followed by hydrogenolysis (10% Pd/C) of the nitro group. It is noted, a successful Mitsunobu reaction is dependent on the  $pK_a$ associated with the incoming nucleophile and is independent of the nucleophilicity of the nucleophile.<sup>26</sup> Thus, the amine functionality of anilino carbinol was selectively converted to its tosyl derivative by treatment with TsCl in pyridine at 0 °C for 12 h (kept in refrigerator) in order to make its proton acidic for Mitsunobu reaction. Interestingly, the tosylated product of the primary carbinol was not detected although primary carbinol was very reactive, indicating selectivity on aromatic amine functionality. The intramolecular Mitsunobu<sup>27</sup> cyclization between the activated sulfonamide and primary carbinol of 5a–g in the presence of diethylazodicarboxylate (DEAD), triphenylphosphine (PPh<sub>3</sub>)

at 0 °C furnished enatiomerically pure substituted 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalines 6a–g (70–85%) along with 8–16% overall yield. The tosyl group of the selected molecules 6a–b were deprotected (Scheme 3) by using sodium naphthalenide  $^{28}$  in dry THF with 60–70% yield and the overall yield was 10–11%.

## Synthesis of benzo-annulated chiral  $[9]-N_3$  peraza-macrocycles

After a successful synthesis of enantiomerically pure 3-substituted-1-(toluene-4-tosyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro quinoxalines via Mitsunobu cyclization, the advanced synthetic intermediate 7a–c used in (Scheme 4), was utilized for the construction of benzoannulated chiral  $[9]-N_3$  peraza-macrocycles. Tosyl derivatives of amino acids methyl ester  $9a-d$  were synthesized<sup>25d</sup> following two steps: amino acids were transformed to their methyl esters by treatment with  $S OCl<sub>2</sub>$  and MeOH, followed by treatment with p-toluenesulfonyl chloride, triethyl amine in dry DCM. The treatment of  $9a-d$  with  $7a-c$  under DEAD/PPh<sub>3</sub> conditions furnished 10a–f in 70–80% yield. The nitro groups in 10a–f were converted into its amine by hydrogenolysis and the activated sulfonamides 11a–f were synthesized by treatment with TsCl in pyridine at 0 °C to make its proton acidic for Mitsunobu reaction. The reduction of the ester group in 11a–f by LAH gave carbinol 12a–f in 60–68% yield. The intramolecular Mitsunobu cyclization between the activated sulfonamide and primary carbinol in 12a–f afforded enantiomerically pure benzo-annulated chiral  $[9]-N_3$  peraza- macrocycles 13a–f in 70–80% yield. Both



Scheme 3 Deprotection of the tosyl group of 6a–b.



**Scheme 4** Synthesis of benzo-annulated chiral  $[9]-N_3$  peraza-macro cycles 13a–f.

tosyl groups of the final molecules 13b–f were deprotected by using sodium naphthalenide in dry THF with 60–70% yield and the overall yield was 6–10% (Scheme 5).

#### Synthesis of benzo-annulated [12]-N4 peraza-macrocycles 20a–b

Next, a synthesis of enantiomerically pure benzo-annulated [12]- N4 peraza-macrocycle was undertaken (Scheme 6). The advanced synthetic intermediate 11b–c and Boc-protected amino carbinol 15 were used for the synthesis of tetraaza-macrocycles. Boc-protected amino carbinol 15 was synthesized in three steps from our previous reported procedure.<sup>25d</sup> Treatment of 11b–c with 15 under the Mitsunobu conditions furnished ester derivatives 16a–b in 60–70% yield. Next, 16a–b was treated with TFA, instead of Boc-deprotection, salt formation took place. After the neutralization of salt, the starting material was recovered. We circumvented the problem using  $S OCl<sub>2</sub>$  and MeOH to deprotect<sup>29</sup> the Boc-group, affording free amine hydrochlorides 17a–b which were again converted to their tosyl derivatives 18a–b by treatment with tosyl chloride and pyridine at  $0^{\circ}$ C in 50–60% yield. The reduction of the ester group of 18a–b by LAH gave carbinols 19a–b in 60–70% yield. The intramolecular Mitsunobu cyclization between the sulfonamide and primary carbinol in 19a–b furnished enantiomerically pure benzo-annulated tetraaza-macrocycles 20a–b in 50–60% yield along with recovery of 20–30% starting material. The tosyl groups of 20a were deprotected by using sodium naphthalenide protocol with 66% yield and the overall yield was 1.69% (Scheme 7). If 0 °C finanched cantometrially pure substituted 1.2.3-4-tem-<br>
by drog interested by the subset of the same of the same of the final model of the final model of the same of the complete one of the same of the same of th



Scheme 5 Deprotection of both tosyl groups of 13b–f.



Scheme 6 Synthesis of benzo-annulated [12]-N<sub>4</sub> peraza-macrocycles 20a–b.



Scheme 7 Deprotection of the tosyl groups of 20a.



Fig. 1 Metal complexation studies of these macrocycles.

#### UV-Visible spectroscopic study

The objective of synthesizing these amino acids derived chiral macrocycles is to study their complexation with metal ions. The metal complexation studies have been reported by various spectroscopic techniques, such as ultraviolet visible (UV-Vis.), NMR, fluorescence, and infrared (IR).<sup>30</sup> UV-Vis spectroscopy is the most popular tool for examination of binding phenomena.<sup>31</sup> The UV-visible spectrum of some of these novel chiral macrocyle derivatives (Fig. 1) have been recorded in dry acetonitrile and are presented in Fig. 2. Among triaza-macrocycles, except the diphenyl substituted derivative 14c, all derivatives show a broad band in the wavelength region of 275–325 nm having wavelength maxima at 295 nm, which is assigned to  $\pi-\pi^*$  transition in benzene moiety with a considerable overlap from amino groups, along with a sharp band at 222 nm. The absorption peak maxima and the corresponding molar extinction coefficients  $(\varepsilon)$ are presented in Table 1. The highest  $\varepsilon$  value is observed for 14a while the lowest is observed for 14e. Similar values are obtained for 14b, 14c and 14d. No specific relationship could be observed between the strength of transition and the subsituation in the macrocycle. In case of 14c derivatives this sharp band is blue shifted to below 210 nm. Since in this low wavelength region (below 190 nm) acetonitrile also absorbs, it was not possible to detect exactly its peak position. In addition, for 14c the broad band is blue shifted and became structured. This hypsochromic effect is due to the phenyl substitutions which changes the electronic properties as will be discussed in detail in the section 'Quantum chemical calculations: DFT and TD-DFT'.

In fact, an in-depth investigation on the absorption spectra of 14c and 14d has been performed with the help of TD-DFT and described in the same section. The solvent polarity sensitivity of these derivatives has also been studied. No noticeable sensitivity is observed (see Fig. S-167 and S-168 in the ESI).† We also have not observed any change in the UV-visible spectrum for any compound due to the change of concentration. Hence the possibility of intermolecular complexation or dimerization can be ruled out. While the low energy band in the UV-visible



Fig. 2 UV-Visible spectra of different compounds in acetonitrile recorded at laboratory temperature (35 °C).

**Table 1** Molar extinction coeffcient  $(\varepsilon)$  at the lowest energy band maxima for various compounds at laboratory temperature.

Compound	$(\lambda_{\text{max}}$ nm)	$\varepsilon$ (M <sup>-1</sup> cm <sup>-1</sup> , at $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ )
14a	296	3009
14 <sub>b</sub>	293	2414
14c	275	2586
14d	296	2269
14e	295	1344

spectrum of tetraaza-macrocycle derivatives is red shifted (e.g., to 305 nm for 21, see ESI, S-162)† as compared to that of the triaza-macrocycles discussed above, the high energy band in these derivatives appears as a hump. It is probably due to the inclusion of one amino group which increases the number of electronic energy levels thereby making the electronic energy levels closer. The molar extinction coefficient as tabulated in Table 1 shows no definite trend with substitution. However, the derivatives without any phenyl ring substitution have the lowest molar extinction coefficients (14e and 14d). Due to poor solubility, metal complexation studies could not be performed for tetraaza-macrocycle derivatives.

#### Studies of complexation with metal salts

As reported elsewhere, on addition of a cation, the low energy absorption band increases with increase in concentration of the cation in solution.<sup>32</sup> During our studies with the synthesized novel macrocycles (Fig. 1), we have found that in some cases there are some significant changes in absorbance at the peak maximum, while in other cases, only a minor change in absorbance followed by a change in the position was observed (see ESI, S-154 to S-161).† Fig. 3 shows a typical enhancement of absorbance of the characteristic band when it binds with the cation. It is interesting to note the appearance of isosbestic points due to complexation, which is generally either not observed or not given importance. The appearance of isosbestic points is far more confirmative of the complex formation than enhancement of absorbance since we found that few of the cations (e.g.  $Ni^{2+}$ ) themselves absorb in the same wavelength range in which the



Fig. 3 Change of UV-visible spectra of 14d with gradual addition of  $Ca^{2+}$  in acetonitrile. The concentration of 14d was  $2.8 \times 10^{-4}$  M while the concentration of Ca<sup>2+</sup> was varied from 2.8 × 10<sup>-5</sup> M to 2.8 × 10<sup>-4</sup> M. Isosbestic points are marked on the x-axis.

ligand absorbs. Fig. 3 also shows an appearance of a broad band above 320 nm which increases gradually with the increase in addition of metal salts. This broad band is due to a complex which is evident from the fact that the metal salt has no absorption in these wavelength ranges (see ESI, Fig. S-153).† In general, the appearance of an isosbestic point indicates that two species involved in the complexation are related linearly by stoichiometry, such that the absorbance is invariant for one particular wavelength. The complexation properties of these macrocycles (Fig. 1) have been studied by an UV-visible spectroscopic technique with transition metal ions  $\text{Co}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Ni}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  and an alkaline earth metal ion  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ .

Fig. 3 shows representative spectra of the change of absorption spectra on addition of  $Ca^{2+}$  solution of various concentrations. It has been observed that a response to the addition of a particular metal ion is different for the different triaza-macrocycles (ESI, Fig. S-154-161).† In some cases there are clear isosbestic points with the in absorbance of the longest wavelength band (e.g., 14e with  $Co^{2+}$ , S-155) while for others the absence of any isosbestic point with enhancement of absorbance are noticed (e.g. 14e with  $Ni^{2+}$ , S-160 ESI),<sup>†</sup> though no definite trend could be established in the structure of the macromolecules. While a slight increase in absorbance of the longest wavelength peak is observed for 14d and 14e with gradual addition of  $Co<sup>2+</sup>$  salt solution, the presence of sharp isosbestic points is clearly indicative of complex formation. For both compounds slight blue shifts were observed on complexation. On the other hand, for 14a and 14b, no substantial increase in absorbance at peak maxima is observed. Insignificant change of absorbance may be due to the similar values of molar absorptivity of the complexes with that of host molecules alone. The different response of different compounds to the  $Co^{2+}$  is due to the different structure of the host molecules. Since the compound containing a phenyl group is found to be less sensitive to the cations, it must be the structure of the host molecule which inhibits proper interaction which is discussed in detail in the section 'Quantum chemial calculation'. Among all the macrocycles studied, the complexation behavior is clearly depicted by 14e with  $\text{Co}^{2+}$  which shows a considerable increase in absorbance as well as these isosbestic points (at 274, 298 and 329 nm) (Fig. S-155).† Since there is no clear peak at 295 nm regions (due to overlapping of a low energy peak with



Fig. 4 Bensei-Hildebrand plot for 14d with  $\text{Co}^{2+}$ , considering the 1:1 complexation. The goodness of the fit is shown by the  $R^2$  value. The equation is also mentioned in the graph.

high energy) for 14c, the formation of a complex is evident from the appearance of isosbestic points at 282 and 313 nm (Fig. S-158).† Interestingly, isosbestic points different from that appeared in other derivatives (appear approximately at 295 and 325 nm). This further indicates that the complexation for 14c is clearly different than that of others and has been explained in the following section taking into consideration the different structure.

The Benesi-Hildebrand equation<sup>33</sup> for a 1:1 complex was employed for the cases where a significant change in absorbance is observed. Fig. 4 represents a case where we could successfully use the above mentioned equation. The binding constant value as determined from the figure was found to be  $1.84 \times 10^3$  dm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> which corresponds to the Gibbs energy change ( $\Delta G$ ) of  $-19.4$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> at  $35$  °C. This binding constant is found to be less by an order of magnitude as compared to the tetraaza derivatives reported in literature.<sup>32</sup> The highest binding capability is shown by 14b with  $Co^{2+}$  (9.6  $\times$  10<sup>3</sup>) while the lowest was obtained for 14a with  $Ni^{2+}$  (1.7  $\times$  10<sup>3</sup>). The binding constants for  $Ni^{2+}$  and  $Ca^{2+}$  with various derivatives are found to vary between  $1.8 - 6.2 \times 10^3$  and  $1.7 - 8.0 \times 10^3$ , respectively. An interesting fact that came out particularly from these two cations with various macromolecules is that symmetrically substituted derivatives like 14d (dimethyl substituted) and 14c (dibenzyl substituted) have relatively higher binding constants as compared to others. However, the difference of strength of binding for various derivatives does not vary significantly, therefore no definite conclusion is made. In general, the binding constants for different metal–ligand complexes here vary between 1.7–9.6 ×  $10^3$  dm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>.

#### Quantum chemical calculations: DFT and TD-DFT

In this section the ground state molecular, electronic structure and optical spectra of two of the macrocycles (14c and 14d) are computed in the solution phase (acetonitrile as bulk solvent) using DFT and TD-DFT methods. These studies, as will be seen from the following discussions, lead to an unambiguous interpretation of their optical spectra and to rationalization of the different complexation behaviour of 14c as compared to the



Fig. 5 DFT optimized structures of 14d (left) and 14c (right) molecules. The optimizations were done using the polarizable continuum model (PCM) with acetonitrile as the dielectric continuum. Blue spheres indicate nitrogens while the gray stands for carbon atoms.

other macrocycles studied. The solution phase DFT ( polarizable continuum model, PCM using acetonitrile as dielectric continuum, see ESI, Fig. S-163 to S-166)† optimized structures of 14d and 14c are presented in Fig. 5. While 14d represents the basic unit of the all the macromolecules studied for the complexation and 14c is studied due to its different complexation behavior as discussed in the preceding section. It is found that the replacement of a methyl group by phenyl has significantly stabilized 14c over 14d, (as is evident from the total energy of the system,  $-687219$  vs.  $-397223$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) which is presumably due to the electronegative nature of phenyl ring.

The main purpose of performing the TD-DFT calculation is to analyse the UV-visible spectra of different compounds and moreover to know the reason for the difference in the spectrum of 14c with respect to 14d (which represents other derivatives as well). An overall excellent agreement can be seen between the theoretical and experimental UV-visible spectral data which are shown in Fig. 6 (a and b). The HOMO of 14c and 14d are strickingly similar, in both cases this higest occupied molecular orbital is situated mainly on the benzene ring along with the adjacent two nitrogens in spite of the fact that 14c has two phenyl groups. The main differences are noticed on LUMOs. While the LUMO of 14c is mainly on the two phenyl groups attached to two aza nitrogens, the same for the 14d is mainly on the parent benzene ring with minor contributions from adjacent methyl groups. The groups of predicted transitions in 14c within the wavelength region of 225 nm to 325 nm appear at 287, 277, and 248 nm (indicated in Fig. 6(a), as 1, 2, and 3 respectively). These transitions match reasonably well with experimental values (284, 276 and 253 nm respectively). Similar good agreements, though to a lesser extent have been observed for 14d as well. While experimental band positions are observed at 296, 249 and 221 nm, the calculated corresponding band positions are 284, 244 and 234 nm. The longest wavelength band (284 nm) is clearly the n– $\pi^*$  transition (HOMO to LUMO). The involvement of a phenyl ring in LUMO is clearly seen in the Frontier Molecular Orbital pictures, emboided in the Fig. 6 (a and b). Hence, it is clear that the difference in nature of the band and peak positions in 14c as compared to others is because of the presence of phenyl rings in 14c.

To know the reason for the different types of complexation for 14c and others, we have optimized the structures of 14c and 14d as already mentioned. Though the 14c molecule containing a phenyl substituent was found to be more symmetrical as compared to 14d, the binding sites (amino nitrogens) of 14d





Fig. 6 Experimental UV-visible spectra in acetonitrile along with the TD-DFT calculated spectra of (a) 14c and (b) 14d. Frontier Molecular Orbitals involved in predominant transitions are also shown in respectve diagrams. Smooth lines correspond to experimental while vertical lines correspond to calculated transitions with their respective oscillator strength shown on the right axis. The difference in nature of the band and peak positions in 14c as compared to others is because of the presence of phenyl rings in 14c.

derivatives were unhindered or exposed for the complexation. On the other hand, one N–H of 14c was sandwiched between two phenyl rings making it difficult to be accessible for the guest molecules to bind. This initial structural difference might be the reason for a different response of 14c to the cation. It is necessary to mention here that the observed complexation differences are explained here considering the initial structure of macromolecule as the initial structure will guide the complexation. Another approach of examining the final complex structures is also possible. Since at present, convincing information (like single crystal X-ray diffraction data) on the type of complex formation is unavailable, intution based calculations on the final

complex structure may not produce trustworthy or convincing results.

## Conclusion

We have synthesized enantiomerically pure amino acids derived substituted 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalines, new types of benzoannulated unsymmetrical chiral  $[9]-N_3$  peraza- and  $[12]-N_4$ peraza-macrocycles employing an inter- and intramolecular Mitsunobu reaction. The binding phenomena of the macrocycles with metal ion have been investigated by an UV-visible spectroscopic technique. The binding constant  $(K_b)$  value 1.84  $\times$ 10<sup>3</sup> dm<sup>3</sup> mol−<sup>1</sup> using the Benesi–Hildebrand equation and the Gibbs free energy ( $\Delta G$ ) −19.4 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> at 35 °C were determined for 14d with  $Co^{2+}$ . UV-Visible along with DFT study reveal that the responses of polyazamacrocycles towards metal ions greatly depend on molecular conformation and substitution. The presence of clear isosbestic points and the increase (in some cases) of absorbance of the longest wavelength peak maxima confirm the formation of 1 : 1 complex with the metal ions. complex attention may not produce trustworthy or convincing that a concentruion mage of host; genet, narities on the constrained by the concentration of the constrained by the concentration of the constrained by the metho

# Experimental

# General remarks

IR spectra were recorded on 55 a Perkin-Elmer FT-IR RXI spectrometer. <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded on a Brucker DPX-200 or DPX- 300 spectrometer using CDCl<sub>3</sub> as solvent. Tetramethylsilane (0.00 ppm) served as an internal standard in <sup>1</sup>H NMR and CDCl<sub>3</sub> (77.0 ppm) in <sup>13</sup>C NMR. Chemical shifts are expressed in parts per million ( ppm). Mass spectra were recorded on JEOL SX 102 spectrometer. Elemental analyses were done on Varian EL-III CH N analyzer (Germany). The enantiomeric excess was determined by Lichro CART Chiradex column (250  $\times$  4 mm, 5 µm) using 10–100 acetonitrile, water, gradient method, flow rate 1 mL min<sup>-1</sup> as eluent at 20 °C. The ee value was determined for the compounds 3b and 3f (using CHIRAL 1C, hexane/propanol 95 : 5, flow rate 0.50 mL  $min^{-1}$ ), for 6f (using CHIRAL 1C, hexane/propanol 95 : 5, flow rate 0.80 mL min<sup>-1</sup>) and for 13b (using CHIRAL 1C, hexane/ propanol 50 : 50, flow rate 0.60 mL min<sup>-1</sup>) as eluent at 20 °C. All complexation studies were performed at 35 °C ( $\pm$  0.2 °C) using the Peltier thermostat. UV-Visible spectra were recorded by CARY 100 BIO UV-Visible spectrophotometer (in the range of 200–800 nm) attached with the Peltier temperature controller, which has photometric linearity till absorbance 3.5 and wavelength resolution of  $\pm$  0.2 nm. A pair of Spectrosil quartz cuvette with Teflon stopper was used for the measurements.

## Appropriate concentrations of chloride salts of different metal ions in acetonitrile have been prepared as follows

Due to the limited solubility of chloride salts in acetonitrile, initially salts were dissolved in a minimum amount of triple water (less than 7% of the total solution volume). Then an appropriate amount of acetonitrile was added to the water solution to prepare a salt solution of required concentration. This solution of the host molecule was added in the guest solution in such a way

that a concentration range of host : guest, starting from 1 : 0 to 1 : 2 was prepared. UV-Visible spectra of these solutions were recorded at laboratory temperature (35 °C) keeping acetonitrile as reference.

#### Computational method

The Gaussian  $03$  program<sup>34</sup> was used for the density functional theory (DFT) calculation for two derivatives. The basis set already implemented in the program was used for the different types of calculations. The geometry of the molecule was optimized with  $6-31G++(d,p)$  basis set at the Becke's three parameter hybrid method with LYP correlation (B3LYP) using the PCM model (solvent : acetonitrile). In addition to optimization, a frequency calculation was also performed at the B3LYP level of calculation. The absence of imaginary vibrational frequencies in the vibrational spectrum ensures the presence of a true minimum. The TD-DFT method (time-dependent density functional theory) is also used for calculating transitions. The electronic spectrum is calculated in the polarizable continuum model (PCM) using acetonitrile as dielectric continuum. We have used the same basis set and geometry as we used for optimization.

## Experimental procedures and characterization data of the selected examples

General experimental procedure for the synthesis of (3a–g). To a stirred solution of S-amino acids 2 (1 equiv) in 30 mL of anhydrous DMF was added  $K_2CO_3$  (1.5 equiv) at 25 °C followed by addition of ortho-nitrofluorobenzene (1equiv). The mixture was stirred for 4 h at 90 °C,  $K_2CO_3$  was filtered off, and DMF was removed under vacuum. The residue was diluted with 30 mL MeOH and followed by addition of SOCl<sub>2</sub> at 0  $\degree$ C, and then the reaction mixture was stirred for 3–4 h. After completion of the reaction, the solvent was removed under vacuum, the reaction mixture was diluted with water and then was added excess NaHCO<sub>3</sub> to neutralize the HCl and aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate  $(3 \times 50 \text{ mL})$ . Removal of the solvent under vacuum and column chromatography of the crude product on silica gel with hexane-ethylacetate (8.5 : 1.5) as eluent furnished 3.

(2S)-2-(2-Nitrophenylamino) propionic acid methyl ester (3a). Yellow oil; yield,  $67\%$  (based on two steps);  $R_f$  0.51 (8.5/ 1.5, hexane/EtOAc); IR v<sub>max</sub> (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3456, 2926, 2858, 2370, 1744, 1620, 1267, 1163, 744; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.32–8.30 (bs, 1H), 8.22 (dd, 1H,  $J_1 = 1.9$ ,  $J_2 = 8.8$ ), 7.48–7.42 (m, 1H), 6.76–6.70 (m, 2H), 4.37–4.28 (m, 1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 1.63 (d, 3H,  $J = 6.7$ ); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 173.0, 143.7, 136.1, 132.5, 126.9, 116.1, 113.6, 52.5, 51.1, 18.5; MS (ESI):  $m/z$  225 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>; Anal. calcd. (%) for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: C 53.57; H 5.39; N 12.49; Found: C 53.61; H 5.49; N 12.53.

(2S)-3-Methyl-2-(2-nitrophenylamino)butyric acid methyl ester (3f). Yellow oil; yield,  $68\%$  (based on two steps);  $R_f$  0.52 (8.5/1.5, hexane/EtOAc); IR  $v_{\text{max}}$  (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3387, 2964, 2366, 1743, 1619, 1512, 1160, 745; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.38 (d, 1H,  $J = 7.3$ ), 8.20 (dd, 1H,  $J_1 = 1.5$ ,  $J_2 = 8.6$ ), 7.46–7.40 (m, 1H), 6.75–6.67 (m, 2H), 4.08–4.04 (m, 1H), 3.76

 $(s, 3H), 2.38-2.26$  (m, 1H), 1.12 (d, 3H,  $J = 6.8$ ), 1.07 (d, 3H,  $J = 6.8$ ); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  172.6, 144.9, 136.7, 133.1, 127.4, 116.5, 114.1, 62.1, 52.6, 31.8, 19.5, 18.9; MS (ESI):  $m/z$  253 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>; Anal. calcd. (%) for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: C, 57.13; H, 6.39; N, 11.10; Found: C, 57.23; H, 6.43; N, 11.15.

General experimental procedure for the synthesis of (4a–g). Compound 3 (1 equiv) in anhydrous THF (15 mL) was added to a suspension of LAH  $(1 \text{ M})$  sol<sup>n</sup>  $(3 \text{ equiv})$  in THF  $(15 \text{ mL})$  at RT. The reaction mixture was stirred at RT for 30 min. The reaction was quenched by addition of ethyl acetate (30 mL) followed by water (30 mL) at 0 °C. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 50$  mL) and the organic layer was dried over anhydrous  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ . After concentration under vacuum, the crude product was chromatographed on silica gel with hexane-ethylacetate (6 : 4) as eluent to furnish 4.

(2S)-2-(2-Aminophenylamino)propan-1-ol (4a). Brown oil; yield, 41%;  $R_f$  0.51 (6.5/3.5, hexane/EtOAc); IR  $v_{\text{max}}$  (neat, cm−<sup>1</sup> ) 3653, 3437, 2926, 1633, 1458, 746; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl3) δ 6.83–6.70 (m, 4H), 3.76–3.70 (m, 1H), 3.63–3.45 (m, 2H), 2.94–2.87 (m, 4H), 1.19 (d, 3H, J = 6.1); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  136.2, 135.0, 120.6, 119.4, 117.0, 113.8, 65.9, 50.5, 17.4; MS (ESI):  $m/z$  167 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>; Anal. calcd. (%) for  $C_9H_{14}N_2O$ : C, 65.03; H, 8.49; N, 16.85; Found: C, 65.11; H, 8.46; N, 16.91.

(2S)-2-(2-Aminophenylamino)-3-phenylpropan-1-ol (4b). Brown oil; yield, 43%;  $R_f$  0.48 (6.5/3.5, hexane/EtOAc); IR  $v_{\text{max}}$ (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3652, 3446, 2929, 2372, 1600, 1514, 747; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.37–7.23 (m, 5H), 6.89–6.73 (m, 4H), 3.78–3.68 (m, 2H), 3.56–3.50 (m, 1H), 3.16 (bs, 4H), 2.99 (dd, 1H,  $J_1 = 5.6$ ,  $J_2 = 13.6$ ), 2.87 (dd, 1H,  $J_1 = 7.5$ ,  $J_2 = 13.6$ ); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  138.3, 136.1, 135.2, 129.3, 128.5, 126.4, 120.7, 119.6, 117.2, 114.1, 62.9, 56.1, 37.6; MS (ESI):  $m/z$  243 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>; Anal. calcd. (%) for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O: C, 74.35; H, 7.49; N, 11.56; Found: C, 74.33; H, 7.57; N, 11.62.

General experimental procedure for the synthesis of (5a–g). Compound 4 (1 equiv) was dissolved in 5 mL anhydrous pyridine, and then was cooled at 0 °C, followed by addition of p-toluenesulfonylchloride (1.2 equiv). Then it was kept in a refrigerator for 12 h. The pyridine was removed under vacuum and diluted with 30 mL water. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate  $(3 \times 50 \text{ mL})$  and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the crude product was then chromatographed over silica gel with eluent MeOH-CHCl<sub>3</sub>  $(0.3:9.7)$  to afford the title compound 5.

(S)-N-[2-(2-Hydroxy-1-methylethylamino)phenyl]-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide (5a). Brown oil; yield, 77%;  $R_f$  0.51 (6.0/4.0, hexane/EtOAc); IR  $v_{\text{max}}$  (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3652, 3417, 2927, 2366, 1602, 1326, 1159, 750; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.61 (d, 2H,  $J = 8.2$ ), 7.24 (d, 2H,  $J = 8.1$ ), 7.12–7.06 (m, 1H), 6.71 (d, 1H,  $J = 8.2$ ), 6.43–6.41 (m, 2H), 6.38 (bs, 1H), 3.74 (dd, 1H,  $J_1 = 3.6$ ,  $J_2 = 11.1$ ), 3.67–3.61 (m, 1H), 3.46 (dd, 1H,  $J_1 = 3.5$ ,  $J_2 = 11.0$ ), 2.41 (s, 3H), 1.16 (d, 3H,  $J = 6.5$ ); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  144.7, 143.6, 135.9, 129.4, 128.8, 128.5, 127.4, 120.9, 116.4, 112.4, 65.3, 49.9, 21.4, 16.9; MS (ESI): m/z 321 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>; Anal. calcd. (%) for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S: C, 59.98; H, 6.29; N, 8.74; Found: C. 59.93; H, 6.32; N, 8.79.

General experimental procedure for the synthesis of (6a–g). To a stirred solution of 5 (1equiv), and triphenylphosphine (1.2 equiv) in anhydrous THF (10 mL) under  $N_2$  atmosphere, DEAD (1.2 equiv in THF) was added dropwise at  $0^{\circ}$ C. The reaction mixture was stirred at the same temperature for additional 1 h. The organic solvent was removed under vacuum and the column chromatography (eluent = hexane-ethylacetate,  $8.5:1.5$ ) of crude product over silica gel furnished 6.

(3S)-3-Methyl-1-tosyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxaline (6a). Yellow solid; mp  $162-164$  °C; yield, 77%; overall yield, 16.3%;  $R_f$  0.52 (8.0/2.0, hexane/EtOAc);  $[\alpha]_D^{30}$  -47.3 (c 0.11, MeOH), HPLC analysis: ee > 99 ( $t_R$  = 5.2 min, CH<sub>3</sub>CN/H<sub>2</sub>O); IR  $v_{\text{max}}$ (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3407, 2930, 2374, 1602, 1344, 1162, 573; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.68 (dd, 1H,  $J_1 = 1.3$ ,  $J_2 = 8.2$ ), 7.49–7.46 (m, 2H), 7.22–7.19 (m, 2H), 7.01–6.96 (m, 1H), 6.73–6.67 (m, 1H), 6.47 (dd, 1H,  $J_1 = 1.4$ ,  $J_2 = 8.0$ ), 4.26–4.23 (m, 1H), 2.90–2.87 (m, 2H), 2.39 (s, 3H), 1.05 (d, 3H,  $J = 5.7$ );  $^{13}$ C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  143.5, 137.8, 136.3, 129.5, 127.0, 126.2, 125.4, 121.2, 116.8, 114.4, 50.1, 43.2, 21.4, 19.1; MS (ESI):  $m/z$  302.9 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>; Anal. calcd. (%) for C16H18N2O2S: C, 63.55; H, 6.00; N, 9.26; Found: C, 63.61; H, 6.10; N, 9.30. Os. 3H), 238-226 (m. 1H), 112 (d. 3H,  $J = 6.81$ , 107 (d. 3H,  $J = 6.81$ , 102 a street southean precedure for the synthesis of the galaxy in any other in the CM angers of the synthesis of the synthesis of the synthesis of t

(3S)-3-Benzyl-1-tosyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxaline (6b). Light yellow semi-solid; yield,  $75\%$ ; overall yield,  $15.9\%$ ;  $R_f$ 0.53 (8.0/2.0, hexane/EtOAc);  $[\alpha]_D^{30}$  -93.3 (c 0.13, MeOH), HPLC analysis: ee > 99 ( $t_R$  = 12.4 min, CH<sub>3</sub>CN/H<sub>2</sub>O); IR  $v_{\text{max}}$ (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3399, 2926, 1601, 1497, 1349, 1163, 752; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.69 (dd, 1H,  $J_1 = 1.1, J_2 = 8.2$ ), 7.42–7.28 (m, 5H), 7.22–7.19 (m, 2H), 7.07–7.04 (m, 2H), 7.00–6.94 (m, 1H), 6.74–6.68 (m, 1H), 6.43 (dd, 1H,  $J_1 = 1.3$ ,  $J_2 = 8.1$ ), 4.24 (dd, 1H,  $J_1 = 2.4$ ,  $J_2 = 13.9$ ), 3.71 (bs, 1H), 3.06 (dd, 1H,  $J_1 = 10.1$ ,  $J_2 = 13.9$ ), 2.86–2.78 (m, 1H), 2.69 (dd, 1H,  $J_1 = 5.6$ ,  $J_2 = 13.4$ ), 2.49 (dd, 1H,  $J_1 = 8.4$ ,  $J_2 = 13.4$ ), 2.41 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  143.6, 137.5, 136.4, 136.1, 129.6, 129.0, 128.7, 127.3, 126.9, 126.3 125.6, 121.9, 117.3, 114.6, 48.9, 48.3, 40.0, 21.5; MS (ESI):  $m/z$  379 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>; Anal. calcd. (%) for  $C_{22}H_{22}N_2O_2S$ : C, 69.81; H, 5.86; N, 7.40; Found: C, 69.88; H, 5.92; N, 7.45.

General experimental procedure for the synthesis of (7a–c). Compound 3a–b, 3f (1 equiv) in anhydrous THF (15 mL) was added to a suspension of LAH  $(1 \text{ M})$  sol<sup>n</sup>  $(1 \text{ equiv})$  in THF (15 mL) at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at RT for 5 min and was quenched by addition of ethyl acetate (30 mL) followed by water (30 mL) at 0  $^{\circ}$ C. The same work-up procedure was followed as described for 4 and the product was chromatographed on silica gel with hexane-ethylacetate (7 : 3) as eluent to furnish 7a–c.

(S)-2-(2-Nitro-phenylamino)-propan-1-ol (7a). This product was isolated as yellow oil. yield,  $64\%$ ,  $R_f$  0.49 (7.olour, hexane/ EtOAc); IR  $v_{\text{max}}$  (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3366, 2924, 2366, 1616, 1507, 1227, 770; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.07 (dd, 1H,  $J_1$  = 1.2,  $J_2 = 8.5$ ), 7.99–7.97 (m, 1H), 7.34–7.29 (m, 1H), 6.84 (d, 1H,  $J = 8.7$ ), 6.57–6.52 (m, 1H), 3.84–3.74 (m, 1H), 3.70–3.59 (m, 2H), 1.27 (d, 3H,  $J = 6.4$ ); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 145.0, 135.9, 127.3, 115.4, 114.1, 66.2, 49.9, 17.6; MS (ESI):

 $m/z$  197 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>; Anal. calcd. (%) for C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: C, 55.09; H, 6.16; N,14.28; Found: C, 55.14; H, 6.23; N, 14.34.

General experimental procedure for the synthesis of (8a–b). Finely chopped sodium metal (10 equiv) and naphthalene (12 equiv) were dissolved in 10 mL dry THF. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h, until dark green color appeared. The desired THF solution of 6a–b was cooled to −78 °C and then Nanapthalenide solution was added dropwise to the reaction mixture via a syringe, until dark green color persisted. The reaction mixture was stirred for 10 min at −78 °C and then was quenched by adding 1–2 drops water to discharge the green color. The reaction mixture was diluted with water and then extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O ( $3 \times 50$  mL) and the organic layer was dried over anhydrous  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ , concentrated under vacuum and the column chromatography (eluent = hexane/ethylacetate, 7.5 : 2.5) of crude product over silica gel furnished 8.

(S)-2-Methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxaline (8a). Brown oil; yield, 65%; overall yield, 10.6%;  $R_f$  0.51 (8.0/2.0, hexane/ EtOAc);  $[\alpha]_D^{30}$  -47.3 (c 0.14, MeOH); IR  $v_{\text{max}}$  (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3441, 2362, 1640, 1217, 767; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 6.61–6.47 (m, 4H), 3.58–3.43 (m, 1H), 3.31 (dd, 1H,  $J_1 = 2.9$ ,  $J_2 = 10.7$ ), 3.03 (dd, 1H,  $J_1 = 8.1$ ,  $J_2 = 10.7$ ), 1.18 (d, 3H,  $J =$ 6.3); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  133.4, 133.0, 118.6, 114.4, 114.3, 48.1, 45.6, 19.8; MS (ESI):  $m/z$  149 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>; Anal. calcd. (%) for  $C_9H_{12}N_2$ : C, 72.94; H, 8.16; N, 18.90; Found: C, 72.91; H, 8.27; N, 18.96.

General experimental procedure for the synthesis of (10a–f). To a stirred solution of 7a–c (1 equiv), 9a–d (1.1 equiv) and triphenylphosphine (1.3 equiv) in anhydrous THF (15 mL) under atmosphere of  $N_2$ , DEAD (1.3 equiv) in THF was added dropwise at 0 °C. The same reaction condition and worked-up procedure followed as described in the synthesis of 6a–g. The column chromatography (eluent = hexane-ethylacetate,  $8.5:1.5$ ) of crude product over silica gel furnished 10a–f.

(S)-Methyl-2-(4-methyl-N-((S)-2-(2-nitrophenylamino)-3-phenylpropyl)phenylsulfonamido)propanoate (10a). Yellow oil; yield, 75%;  $R_f$  0.54 (8.5/1.5, hexane/EtOAc); IR  $v_{\text{max}}$  (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3022, 2361, 1741, 1509, 1216, 760; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.12–8.08 (m, 2H), 7.65–7.62 (m, 2H), 7.45–7.38 (m, 1H), 7.30–7.20 (m, 7H), 7.06 (d, 1H,  $J = 8.6$ ), 6.65–6.59 (m, 1H), 4.73–4.65 (m, 1H), 4.37–4.30 (m, 1H), 3.58–3.50 (m, 1H), 3.43 (s, 3H), 3.29–3.20 (m, 2H), 2.68 (dd, 1H,  $J_1 = 8.9$ ,  $J_2 =$ 13.8), 2.40 (s, 3H), 1.42 (d, 3H,  $J = 7.2$ ); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) δ 171.5, 144.8, 143.9, 137.4, 136.2, 135.8, 132.2, 129.7, 129.5, 129.1, 128.7, 128.6, 127.4, 127.2, 126.9, 126.7, 115.6, 114.3, 56.1, 54.3, 52.2, 49.0, 39.4, 21.5, 16.7; MS (ESI): m/z 512  $[M + H]^+,$  534  $[M + Na]^+,$  Anal. calcd. (%) for  $C_{26}H_{29}N_3O_6S$ : C, 61.04; H, 5.71; N, 8.21; Found: C, 61.12; H, 5.74; N, 8.28.

(S)-Methyl-3-methyl-2-(4-methyl-N-((S)-3-methyl-2-(2-nitro phenylamino)butyl)-phenylsulfonamido)butanoate(10f). Yellow oil; yield, 75%;  $R_f$  0.50 (8.0/2.0, hexane/EtOAc); IR  $v_{\text{max}}$  (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3343, 3021, 2361, 1739, 1509, 1216, 761; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.16–8.10 (m, 2H), 7.64–7.61 (m, 2H), 7.36–7.27 (m, 2H), 7.14–7.11 (m, 2H), 6.62–6.56 (m, 1H), 4.09–4.06 (m, 2H), 3.76–3.62 (m, 1H), 3.57–3.50 (m, 1H), 3.52  $(s, 3H), 2.32 (s, 3H), 2.16-2.08 (m, 2H), 1.05 (d, 3H, J = 7.0),$ 0.98–0.90 (m, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  171.1, 145.0, 143.3, 137.0, 135.7, 129.5, 129.2, 127.1, 126.9, 114.9, 114.1, 66.3, 55.6, 51.6, 45.5, 29.1, 28.9, 21.4, 19.6, 19.3, 18.1, 17.1; MS (ESI):  $m/z$  492 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>; Anal. calcd. (%) for  $C_{24}H_{33}N_3O_6S$ : C, 58.64; H, 6.77; N, 8.55; Found: C, 58.68; H, 6.81; N, 8.59.

General experimental procedure for the synthesis of (11a-f). Compound 10 dissolved in MeOH and added Pd (10% on carbon) in a bottle under nitrogen atmosphere. Then the nitrogen was completely replaced by hydrogen in parr assembly. The reaction was allowed to run for 1 h under pressure of 50 psi of H2. After completion of the reaction (1 h, TLC monitoring), the catalyst was removed by filtration through celite, the solvent was removed under vacuum, the crude which was directly used for next step. The procedure was followed as described in the synthesis of 5a–g.

(S)-Methyl-2-(4-methyl-N-((S)-2-(2-(4-methylphenylsulfonamido) phenylamino)-3-phenylpropyl)phenylsulfonamido) propanoate (11a). Brown oil; yield,  $63\%$  (based on two steps);  $R_f$  0.52 (7.5/ 2.5, hexane/EtOAc); IR  $v_{\text{max}}$  (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3021, 2361, 1737, 1215, 761; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.72-7.69 (m, 2H), 7.51–7.48 (m, 2H), 7.30–7.15 (m, 10H), 7.09–7.04 (m, 1H), 6.93 (d, 1H,  $J = 8.1$ ), 6.59–6.53 (m, 2H), 4.65–4.62 (m, 2H), 4.13 (dd, 1H,  $J_1 = 7.2$ ,  $J_2 = 14.2$ ), 3.88–3.86 (m, 1H), 3.41 (s, 3H), 3.30 (dd, 1H,  $J_1 = 4.3$ ,  $J_2 = 15.3$ ), 3.10 (dd, 1H,  $J_1 = 9.0$ ,  $J_2$  = 15.3), 2.73 (dd, 1H,  $J_1$  = 5.5,  $J_2$  =, 14.2), 2.41 (s, 3H), 2.38 (s, 3H), 1.29 (d, 3H,  $J = 7.2$ ); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 172.3, 143.5, 143.4, 143.0, 137.9, 137.1, 136.2, 129.45, 129.39, 129.2, 129.1, 129.0, 128.5, 128.3, 128.0, 127.5, 127.3, 127.2, 126.4, 121.6, 117.0, 111.6, 55.6, 54.2, 52.3, 48.4, 39.2, 21.5, 21.4, 16.1; MS (ESI):  $m/z$  636 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>, 658 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>; Anal. calcd. (%) for  $C_{33}H_{37}N_3O_6S_2$ : C, 62.34; H, 5.87; N, 6.61; Found: C, 62.37; H, 5.82; N, 6.65. we 197 [M = H] : Ann calcd. (%) for G-H<sub>3</sub>-MAC c C, 55.09: (a, 3H), 212 (a, 3H), 216-208 (m, 3H), 105 (d, 3H), 1195 (d, 2H), 1195 (d, 2H), 1197 (b) (a, 2H) (

> $(S)$ -Methyl-3-methyl-2-(4-methyl-N- $(S)$ -2-(2-(4-methyl phenylsulfonamido)phenylamino)-3-phenylpropyl)phenyl-sulfonamido) **butanoate (11b).** Brown oil; yield,  $64\%$ , (based on two steps);  $R_f$ 0.54 (7.5/2.5, hexane/EtOAc); IR  $v_{\text{max}}$  (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3023, 2361, 1742, 1602, 1216, 762; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.77–7.74 (m, 2H), 7.55–7.53 (m, 2H), 7.33–7.11 (m, 10H), 7.08–7.02 (m, 1H), 6.63–6.58 (m, 1H), 6.52 (bs, 1H), 6.43 (d, 1H,  $J = 7.8$ ), 4.60–4.58 (m, 1H), 4.01–3.98 (m, 1H), 3.74–3.73 (m, 1H), 3.64 (dd, 1H,  $J_1 = 3.7$ ,  $J_2 = 15.4$ ), 3.36 (s, 3H), 3.12 (dd, 1H,  $J_1 = 10.3$ ,  $J_2 = 15.5$ ), 2.69 (dd, 1H,  $J_1 = 4.2$ ,  $J_2 = 13.9$ ), 2.43 (s, 3H), 2.37 (s, 3H), 2.05 (dd, 1H,  $J_1 = 8.4$ ,  $J_2 = 13.9$ ), 1.85–1.75 (m, 1H), 0.80 (d, 3H,  $J = 6.4$ ), 0.78 (d, 3H,  $J = 6.5$ ); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  171.7, 143.6, 143.4, 142.3, 137.8, 137.5, 136.4, 129.42, 129.38, 129.0, 128.6. 128.2, 127.6, 127.4, 126.6, 121.4, 116.8, 110.8, 65.9, 53.6, 51.6, 48.0, 39.2, 28.8, 21.6, 21.5, 19.7, 19.4; MS (ESI):  $m/z$  664 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>, 686  $[M + Na]<sup>+</sup>$ ; Anal. calcd. (%) for C<sub>35</sub>H<sub>41</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>6</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: C, 63.32; H, 6.23; N, 6.33; Found: C, 63.36; H, 6.28; N, 6.43.

#### General experimental procedure for the synthesis of  $(12a-f)$

The procedure was followed as described for 4a–g.

 $N-(S)$ -1-Hydroxy-3-methylbutan-2-yl)-4-methyl- $N-(S)$ -2-(2-(4methylphenylsulfonamido)phenylamino)-3-phenyl propyl) ben**zenesulfonamide (12b).** Brown oil; yield,  $64\%$ ;  $R_f$  0.52 (7.0/3.0, hexane/EtOAc); IR  $v_{\text{max}}$  (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3681, 3021, 2359, 1603, 1216, 761; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.67-7.64 (m, 2H), 7.51–7.48 (m, 2H), 7.34–7.26 (m, 6H), 7.19–7.17 (m, 2H), 7.02–6.99 (m, 3H), 6.62–6.60 (m, 1H), 6.52–6.44 (m, 2H), 4.10–4.04 (m, 1H), 3.85–3.82 (m, 1H), 3.70–3.56 (m, 2H), 3.49 (dd, 1H,  $J_1 = 2.7$ ,  $J_2 = 15.0$ ), 2.95 (dd, 1H,  $J_1 = 10.8$ ,  $J_2 = 14.9$ ), 2.89–2.83 (m, 1H), 2.42 (s, 3H), 2.38–2.30 (m, 4H), 1.80–1.73 (m, 1H), 0.92 (d, 3H,  $J = 6.5$ ), 0.69 (d, 3H,  $J = 6.5$ ); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  143.8, 143.3, 142.9, 139.2, 138.2, 137.7, 136.5, 129.7, 129.6, 129.3, 129.1, 128.6, 128.4, 127.65, 127.57, 127.1, 126.6, 126.4, 122.4, 117.9, 112.9, 67.0, 62.3, 53.2, 47.4, 39.1, 27.6, 21.6, 21.4, 20.7, 20.2; MS (ESI):  $m/z$  636 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>, 658 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.; Anal. calcd. (%) for C<sub>34</sub>H<sub>41</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: C, 64.22; H, 6.50; N, 6.61; Found: C, 64.28; H, 6.54; N, 6.71.

#### General experimental procedure for the synthesis of (13a–f)

The procedure was followed as described for 6a–g.

(3S,6S)-6-Benzyl-3-methyl-1,4-ditosyl-2,3,4,5,6,7-hexahydro-1Hbenzo[b] [1,4,7]triazonine (13a). Colorless semi-solid; yield, 66%, (based on two steps); overall yield, 13.8%;  $R_f$  0.51 (8.0/ 2.0, hexane/EtOAc);  $[\alpha]_D^{30}$  +105.2 (c 0.11, MeOH), HPLC analysis: ee > 99 ( $t_R$  = 14.5 min, CH<sub>3</sub>CN/H<sub>2</sub>O); IR  $v_{\text{max}}$  (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3024, 2926, 2363, 1218, 1160, 762; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.13 (bs, 1H), 7.72–7.67 (m, 3H), 7.57–7.54 (m, 2H), 7.30–7.17 (m, 8H), 7.06–7.04 (m, 2H), 6.86–6.84 (m, 2H), 4.17–4.12 (m, 1H), 3.62–3.58 (m, 1H), 2.99–2.84 (m, 2H), 2.78 (dd, 1H,  $J_1 = 3.5$ ,  $J_2 = 11.9$ ), 2.46 (s, 3H), 2.36–2.33 (m, 1H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 2.18 (dd, 1H,  $J_1 = 1.1$ ,  $J_2 = 11.8$ ), 1.85–1.77 (m, 1H), 1.25 (d, 3H,  $J = 6.7$ ); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  144.2, 143.4, 138.2, 137.3, 136.6, 136.5, 134.8, 129.7, 129.6, 128.6, 128.5, 127.2, 127.0, 126.9, 126.7, 124.3, 123.2, 117.8, 60.7, 59.5, 48.7, 44.7, 36.8, 21.5, 21.4, 14.7; MS (ESI): m/z 590 [M +  $H$ <sup>+</sup>; Anal. calcd. (%) for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>35</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: C, 65.17; H, 5.98; N, 7.12; Found: C, 65.21; H, 6.02; N, 7.21.

## General experimental procedure for the synthesis of (14a–e)

The procedure was followed as described for 8a–b.

(2S,5S)-2-Benzyl-5-isopropyl-2,3,4,5,6,7-hexahydro-1H-benzo[b] [1,4,7] triazonine (14a). Brown oil; yield 68%; overall yield, 10.1%;  $R_f$  0.51 (0.8/9.2, MeOH/CHCl<sub>3</sub>); IR  $v_{\text{max}}$  (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3022, 2369, 1432, 1217, 767; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 7.23–7.13 (m, 3H), 7.08–6.95 (m, 4H), 6.82–6.77 (m, 2H), 3.42–3.37 (m, 1H), 3.21–3.15 (m, 1H), 3.05–2.85 (m, 4H), 2.68–2.55 (m, 2H), 1.94–1.89 (m, 1H), 1.04 (d, 3H,  $J = 6.7$ ), 1.00 (d, 3H,  $J = 6.7$ ); MS (ESI):  $m/z$  310 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>; Anal. calcd. (%) for  $C_{20}H_{27}N_3$ : C, 77.63; H, 8.79; N, 13.58; Found: C, 77.68; H, 8.72; N, 13.54.

(2S,5S)-2-Benzyl-5-isobutyl-2,3,4,5,6,7-hexahydro-1H-benzo[b] [1,4,7]triazonine (14b). Brown oil; yield 65%; overall yield, 16.6%;  $R_f$  0.58 (0.8/9.2, MeOH/CHCl<sub>3</sub>); IR  $v_{\text{max}}$  (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3372, 2925, 2361, 1592, 771; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.22–7.13 (m, 4H), 7.06–6.98 (m, 3H), 6.81–6.77 (m, 2H), 3.79–3.67 (m, 1H), 3.44–3.41 (m, 1H), 3.08–2.84 (m, 5H),

2.68–2.64 (m, 1H), 1.76–1.69 (m, 1H), 1.53–1.45 (m, 2H), 0.95-0.93 (m, 6H); MS (ESI):  $m/z$  324 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>; Anal. calcd. (%) for  $C_{21}H_{29}N_3$ : C, 77.97; H, 9.04; N, 12.99; Found: C, 77.91; H, 9.11; N, 12.95.

General experimental procedure for the synthesis of (16a–b). To a stirred solution of 11b–c (1 equiv), Boc-protected amino alcohol 15 (1.2 equiv) and triphenylphosphine (1.5 equiv) in anhydrous THF (15 mL) under atmosphere of  $N_2$ , DEAD (1.5 equiv) in THF) was added dropwise at 0 °C. The same reaction condition and worked-up procedure followed as described in the synthesis of  $6a-g$ . The column chromatography (eluent = hexane-ethylacetate, 8.5 : 1.5) of crude product over silica gel furnished 16a–b.

 $(S)$ -Methyl-2-(N-((S)-2-(2-(N-((S)-2-(tert-butoxycarbonyl amino)-3-phenylpropyl)-4-methylphenylsulfonamido) phenyl amino)-3 phenylpropyl)-4-methylphenylsulfonamido)-3-methylbutanoate (16a). Colorless oil; 65%; R<sup>f</sup> 0.54, (7.5/2.5, hexane/EtOAc); IR  $v_{\text{max}}$  (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3403, 3022, 2977, 1737, 1703, 1513, 1342, 1216, 765; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.78 (d, 1H,  $J = 8.1$ ), 7.67 (d, 1H,  $J = 8.1$ ), 7.54–7.49 (m, 2H), 7.32–7.16 (m, 14H), 6.94 (d, 1H,  $J = 8.1$ ), 6.81 (bs, 1H), 6.47–6.40 (m, 2H), 5.18 (bs, 1H), 4.67–4.64 (m, 1H), 4.48 (bs, 1H), 4.37–4.30 (m, 1H), 4.19–4.06 (m, 2H), 3.96–3.87 (m, 1H), 3.74–3.67 (m, 1H), 3.57–3.51 (m, 2H), 3.45 (s, 3H), 3.32–3.26 (m, 1H), 3.21 (bs, 1H), 2.95–2.90 (m, 1H), 2.43 (s, 3H), 2.41 (s, 3H), 1.64–1.55  $(m, 1H)$ , 1.34 (s, 9H), 0.98 (d, 3H,  $J = 5.8$ ), 0.93 (d, 3H,  $J =$ 6.6); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  171.0, 170.6, 145.8, 143.7, 143.6, 143.4, 138.0, 135.8, 129.5, 129.45, 129.36, 129.3, 128.5, 128.3, 128.2, 128.0, 127.8, 126.3, 126.1, 116.3, 111.9, 66.4, 53.1, 52.5, 51.5, 51.2, 48.8, 39.1, 28.4, 21.5, 20.3, 19.5, 19.4; MS(ESI):  $m/z$  797 [M – Boc]<sup>+</sup>, 897 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>, 919 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>; Anal. calcd. (%) for  $C_{49}H_{60}N_4O_8S_2$ : C, 65.60; H, 6.74; N, 6.24; Found: C, 65.68; H, 6.73; N, 6.35. Version distribution and the state distribution 2-platearity depend on 09 February 2012 (Fig. 1135-139 (E. 719): H. 2014, 113-139 (Fig. 113-143) (Fig. 113-143) (Fig. 113-143) (Fig. 113-143) (Fig. 113-143) (Fig. 113-143) (

> General experimental procedure for the synthesis of (18a–b). Compound 16 (1 equiv) was dissolved in 20 mL MeOH and followed by addition of  $S OCl<sub>2</sub>$  (3 equiv) at RT for 5 min. Removal of the solvent under vacuum and the crude were directly used for next step. The procedure was followed as described in the synthesis of 5a–g.

> (S)-Methyl-3-methyl-2-(4-methyl-N-((S)-2-(2-(4-methyl-N-((S)- 2-(4-methylphenylsulfonamido)-3-phenylpropyl)phenyl sulfonamido)phenylamino)-3-phenylpropyl)phenylsulfona mido) **butanoate (18a).** Brown oil; yield, 55%, (based on two steps);  $R_f$ 0.52 (7.0/3.0, hexane/EtOAc); IR  $v_{\text{max}}$  (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3390, 3024, 1738, 1343, 1217, 1160, 758; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.73 (d, 1H,  $J = 8.1$ ), 7.67 (d, 1H,  $J = 8.1$ ), 7.56–7.47 (m, 3H), 7.39 (d, 1H,  $J = 8.2$ ), 7.32–7.04 (m, 15H), 6.92 (d, 1H,  $J = 8.2$ ), 6.84–6.80 (m, 1H), 6.57–6.47 (m, 3H), 6.37–6.21 (m, 1H), 5.15–5.10 (m, 1H), 4.33–4.25 (m, 1H), 4.24–4.22 (m, 1H), 4.19–4.10 (m, 1H), 4.02–3.89 (m, 1H), 3.60 (dd, 1H,  $J_1 = 7.8$ ,  $J_2$  = 13.2), 3.54–3.52 (m, 1H), 3.41 (s, 3H), 3.30 (dd, 1H,  $J_1$  = 3.2,  $J_2 = 13.4$ ), 3.22 (bs, 1H), 3.16–3.12 (m, 1H), 2.88–2.83 (m, 1H), 2.75–2.69 (m, 1H), 2.63–2.56 (m, 1H), 2.44 (s, 3H), 2.38 (s, 3H), 2.33 (s, 3H), 1.66–1.51 (m, 1H), 0.91–0.88 (m, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  170.9, 146.0, 143.9, 143.3, 142.9, 138.4, 136.7, 136.4, 136.1, 135.9, 134.1, 129.4, 129.34,

129.27, 129.0, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 128.2, 127.8, 127.1, 126.22, 126.16, 125.5, 116.3, 112.2, 66.1, 54.2, 53.3, 52.9, 51.4, 48.3, 38.9, 38.1, 29.0, 21.5, 21.4, 21.3, 20.1, 19.3; MS (ESI): m/z 951  $[M + H]^+$ , 973  $[M + Na]^+$ ; Anal. calcd. (%) for C<sub>51</sub>H<sub>58</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>8</sub>S<sub>3</sub>: C 64.40; H, 6.15; N, 5.89; Found: C, 64.48; H, 6.21; N, 5.91

#### General experimental procedure for the synthesis of (19a–b)

The procedure was followed as described for 4a–g.

 $N-(S)$ -1-Hydroxy-3-methylbutan-2-yl)-4-methyl- $N-(S)$ -2-(2-(4methyl-N-((S)-2-(4-methylphenyl-sulfonamido)-3-phenyl propyl) phenylsulfonamido)phenylamino)-3-phenylpropyl) benzenesulfonamide (19a). Brown oil; yield,  $63\%$ ;  $R_f$  0.45 (7.0/3.0, hexane/ EtOAc); IR  $v_{\text{max}}$  (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3657, 3424, 3022, 2361, 1642, 1216, 762; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.67 (d, 1H,  $J = 8.1$ ), 7.63–7.57 (m, 1H), 7.51–7.49 (m, 2H), 7.32–7.05 (m, 18H), 6.93 (d, 1H,  $J = 6.3$ ), 6.75–6.64 (m, 2H), 6.59–6.54 (m, 1H), 6.31–6.20 (m, 1H), 5.12–5.10 (m, 1H), 4.22–4.12 (m, 1H), 3.94 (bs, 1H), 3.77–3.70 (m, 1H), 3.62 (bs, 1H), 3.56–3.48 (m, 1H), 3.37–3.27 (m, 1H), 3.22–3.15 (m, 1H), 3.06–2.96 (m, 2H), 2.89–2.80 (m, 1H), 2.75–2.66 (m, 1H), 2.47–2.42 (m, 1H), 2.37 (s, 3H), 2.35 (s, 3H), 2.26 (s, 3H), 1.67–1.51 (m, 1H), 0.93–0.89 (m, 6H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) δ 146.4, 143.2, 142.7, 138.5, 137.0, 136.3, 135.7, 133.4, 129.6, 129.5, 129.4, 129.3, 129.1, 128.9, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 127.8, 127.4, 127.3, 126.8, 126.5, 126.3, 125.8, 116.3, 112.3, 63.1, 54.9, 52.6, 52.4, 38.9, 38.4, 30.9, 21.6, 21.4, 21.3, 20.9, 20.1; MS (ESI): m/z 923  $[M + H]^+$ ; Anal. calcd. (%) for C<sub>50</sub>H<sub>58</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>7</sub>S<sub>3</sub>: C, 65.05; H, 6.33; N, 6.07; Found: C 65.13; H 6.39; N 6.18. 129.27.129.0.128.5.1284.128.3.128.127.127.128.2.128.129.127.12.120.2. Universite: However, email yield, 6%; come 17 November 2012 Published on 2012 Published on 2012 Published on 17 November 2012 Published on 17 November

#### General experimental procedure for the synthesis of (20a–b)

The procedure was followed as described for 6a–g.

(3S,6S,9S)-3,9-Dibenzyl-6-isopropyl-1,4,7-tritosyl-1,2,3,4,5,6,7, 8,9,10-decahydrobenzo[b][1,4,7,10] tetraaza-cyclododecine (20a). Light brown, semi-solid; yield, 56%;  $R_f$  0.51 (8.0/2.0, hexane/ EtOAc);  $[\alpha]_D^{30}$  –201.9 (c 0.11, MeOH), HPLC analysis: ee > 99  $(t_R = 17.1 \text{ min}, \text{CH}_3\text{CN/H}_2\text{O})$ ; IR  $v_{\text{max}}$  (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3021, 2360, 1595, 1216, 761; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.53-7.50 (m, 2H), 7.46–7.37 (m, 4H), 7.34–7.17 (m, 11H), 7.10–7.00 (m, 4H), 6.97–6.94 (m, 2H), 6.86–6.84 (m, 2H), 6.58 (d, 1H, J = 7.2), 5.11–5.09 (m, 1H), 4.17–4.10 (m, 1H), 3.84–3.73 (m, 2H), 3.63–3.47 (m, 3H), 3.42–3.34 (m, 1H), 3.11 (dd, 1H,  $J_1 = 4.8$ ,  $J_2 = 14.2$ ), 2.97 (dd, 1H,  $J_1 = 10.7$ ,  $J_2 = 14.4$ ), 2.74–2.62 (m, 2H), 2.46 (s, 6H), 2.41–2.35 (m, 1H), 2.26 (s, 3H), 2.18–2.14  $(m, 1H), 1.64-1.54$   $(m, 1H), 0.99$   $(d, 3H, J = 6.6), 0.89$   $(d, 3H, J)$  $= 7.0$ ); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  150.3, 144.1, 143.5, 142.7, 138.7, 138.1, 137.6, 136.5, 135.8, 134.9, 129.6, 129.5, 129.4, 129.0, 128.9, 128.7, 128.5, 128.4, 127.1, 127.0, 126.9, 126.6, 126.1, 125.4, 60.4, 60.3, 60.2, 55.4, 55.3, 52.0, 38.1, 36.6, 29.6, 21.5, 21.4, 21.3, 21.2, 21.1; MS (ESI): m/z 905 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>; Anal. calcd. (%) for C<sub>50</sub>H<sub>56</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>6</sub>S<sub>3</sub>: C, 66.34; H, 6.24; N, 6.19; Found: C, 66.58; H, 6.19; N, 6.25.

# General experimental procedure for the synthesis of (2S,5S,8S)- 2,8-Dibenzyl-5-isopropyl-1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10-decahydrobenzo[b] [1,4,7,10]tetraazacyclodod-ecine (21)

The procedure was followed as described for 8a–b.

Brown oil; yield, 66%; overall yield, 1.7%;  $R_f$  0.51 (1.5/8.5, MeOH/CHCl<sub>3</sub>); IR  $v_{\text{max}}$  (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3430, 3023, 2953, 1610, 1218, 765; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.35-7.00 (m, 14H), 3.41–3.28 (m, 3H), 2.98–2.89 (m, 5H), 2.66–2.59 (m, 5H), 1.76–1.61 (m, 1H), 1.05 (d, 3H,  $J = 6.4$ ), 0.99 (d, 3H,  $J = 6.4$ ); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  137.3, 136.3, 129.6, 129.25, 129.16, 128.6, 128.2, 126.8, 117.1, 54.1, 39.0, 29.6, 19.4, 19.1; MS (ESI):  $m/z$  443 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>; Anal. calcd. (%) for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>38</sub>N<sub>4</sub>: C, 78.69; H, 8.65; N, 12.66; Found: C, 78.76; H, 8.61; N, 12.72.

#### Acknowledgements

This research project was supported by the Department of Science and Technology, New Delhi, India. K.S. thanks CSIR for providing fellowship grant (NET-SRF). S.S. acknowledges funding received under the Fast Track scheme (SR/FTP/CS-70/ 2006). Instrumental facilities from SAIF, CDRI are acknowledged. This is CDRI communication number 7884.

## References

- 1 K. Torisu, K. Kobayashi, M. Iwahashi, Y. Nakai, T. Onoda, T. Nagase, I. Sugimoto, Y. Okada, R. Matsumoto, F. Nanbu, S. Ohuchida, H. Nakai and M. Toda, Bioorg. Med. Chem., 2004, 5361.
- 2 Y. Ohtake, A. Naito, H. Hasegawa, K. Kawano, D. Morizono, M. Taniguchi, Y. Tanaka, H. Matsukawa, K. Naito, T. Oguma, Y. Ezure and Y. Tsuriya, Bioorg. Med. Chem., 1999, 1247.
- 3 For some representative examples of quinoxaline reduction to 1,2,3,4 tetrahydroquinoxalines see: (a) J. C. Cavagnol and F. Y. Wiselogle, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1947, 69, 795; (b) R. F. Smith, W. J. Rebel and T. N. Beach, J. Org. Chem., 1959, 24, 205; (c) S. Murata, T. Sugimoto and S. Matsuura, Heterocycles, 1987, 26, 763; (d) M. R. Pitts, J. R. Harrison and C. J. Moody, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 2001, 955.
- 4 (a) C. Bianchini, P. Barbaro, G. Scapacci, E. Farnetti and M. Graziani, Organometallics, 1998, 17, 3308; (b) N. Mrsic, T. Jerphagnon, A. J. Minnaard, B. L. Feringa de and J. G. Vries, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2009, 351, 2549; (c) W. Tang, L. Xu, Q. H. Fan, J. Wang, B. Fan, Z. Zhou, K. H. Lam and A. S. C. Chan, Angew. Chem., 2009, 48, 9299.
- 5 For some representative examples for the formation of 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalines from dianilines and diols, see:  $(a)$  S. C. Yang, Y. J. Shue and P. C. Liu, Organometallics, 2002, 21, 2013; (b) S. C. Yang, P. C. Liu and W. H. Feng, Tetrahedron Lett., 2004, 45, 4951; (c) M. Massacret, P. Lhoste and D. Sinou, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 1999, 129.
- 6 R. A. Bunce, D. M. Herron and M. L. Ackerman, J. Org. Chem., 2000, 65, 2847.
- 7 V. Krchniak, J. Smith and J. Vágner, Tetrahedron Lett., 2001, 42, 2443.
- 8 D. V. LaBarbera and E. B. Skipbo, Bioorg. Med. Chem., 2005, 13, 387.
- 9 R. A. Bunce, D. M. Herron and L. Y. Hale, J. Heterocycl. Chem., 2003, 40, 1031.
- 10 C. T. Eary and D. Clausen, Tetrahedron Lett., 2006, 47, 6899.
- 11 (a) D. J. Cram and J. M. Cram, Acc. Chem. Res., 1978, 11, 8; (b) P. C. Hellier, J. S. Bradshaw, J. J. Young, X. X. Zhang and R. M. Izatt, J. Org. Chem., 1996, 61, 7270; (c) X. X. Zhang, J. S. Bradshaw and R. M. Izatt, Chem. Rev., 1997, 97, 3313; (d) H. Zhao and W. Hua, J. Org. Chem., 2000, 65, 2933.
- 12 (a) N. Bolm, G. Meyer, T. Raabe Weyhermüller and E. Bothe, Chem. Commun., 2000, 2435; (b) S. Kobayashi, T. Hamada, S. Nagayama and K. Manabe, Org. Lett., 2001, 3, 165; (c) J. Gao, R. A. Zingaro, J. H. Reibenspies and A. E. Martell, Org. Lett., 2004, 6, 2453; (d) M. K. Tse, S. Bhor, M. Klawonn, G. Anilkumar, H. Jiao, A. Spannenberg, C. Dobler, W. Magerlein, H. Hugl and M. Beller, Chem.–Eur. J., 2006, 12, 1875.
- 13 (a) Reviews: Coordination Chemistry of Macrocyclic Compounds G. A. Ed, Melson, Plenum: New York, 1979; (b) M. Hiraoka, Crown Compounds: Their Characteristics and Applications Elsevier: New York, 1982; pp. 41–49; (c) R. M. Izatt, K. Pawlak, J. S. Bradshaw and R. L. Bruening, Chem. Rev., 1991, 91, 1721.
- 14 (a) D. Parker, Macrocyclic Synthesis A Practical Approach, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1996, Ch.1; (b) J. S. Bradshaw, K. E. Krakowiak and R. M. Izatt, The Chemistry of Heterocyclic Compounds, Wiley, New York, 1993, vol. 51; (c) L. F. Lindoy, The Chemistry of Macrocyclic Ligand Complexes, CUP, Cambridge, 1989; (d) P. Chadhuri and K. Wieghardt, Prog. Inorg. Chem., 1987, 35, 329.
- 15 (a) K. Wieghardt, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1989, 28, 1153; (b) See for example: Bioinorganic Enzymology special ed., Chem. Rev., 1996, 96, 2237 and references therein; (c) A. J. Wu, J. E. Penner-Hahn and V. L. Pecoraro, Chem. Rev., 2004, 104, 903.
- 16 (a) R. Hage, J. E. Iburg, J. Kerschner, J. H. Koek, E. L. M. Lempers, R. J. Martens, U. S. Racherla, S. W. Russell, T. Swathoff, H. R. P. van, J. Vliet, B. Warnaar, L. van der Wolf and B. Krijnen, Nature, 1994, 369, 637; (b) S. H. Jureller, J. L. Kerschner and R. Humphreys, US Patent, US 5,329,024, 1994; Chem. Abstr., 1995, 122, p. 9845z; (c) D. E. De Vos, B. F. Sels, M. Reynaers, Y. V. Subba Rao and P. A. Jacobs, Tetrahedron Lett., 1998, 39, 3221; (d) A. Berkesssel and C. A. Sklorz, Tetrahedron Lett., 1999, 40, 7965.
- 17 (a) E. L. Hegg and J. D. Burstyn, Inorg. Chem., 1996, 35, 7474; (b) A. Ehmann, D. Chafin, K. M. Lee and J. J. Hayes, Nucleic Acids Res., 1998, 26, 2086; (c) C. Sissi, P. Rossi, F. Felluga, F. Fromaggio, M. Palumbo, P. Tecilla, C. Tonniolo and P. Scrimin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 3169; (d) K. M. Deck, T. A. Tseng and J. N. Burstyn, Inorg. Chem., 2002, 41, 669.
- 18 (a) M. J. Young and J. Chin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1995, 117, 10577; (b) E. L. Hegg, K. A. Deal, L. Kiessling and Burstyn, Inorg. Chem., 1997, 36, 1715; (c) K. P. McCue, D. A. Voss Jr., C. Marks and J. R. Morrow, Dalton Trans., 1998, 2961; (d) K. P. McCue and J. R. Morrow, Inorg. Chem., 1999, 38, 6136.
- 19 (a) G. Argouarch, C. L. Gibson, G. Stones and D. C. Sherrington, Tetrahedron Lett., 2002, 43, 3795 and references therein; (b) J. Scheuermann, W. Erik, F. Ronketti, M. Motevalli, V. Griffiths and M. Watkinson, New J. Chem., 2002, **26**, 1054; (c) J. Erik, W. Scheuermann, K. F. Sibbons, B. M. Benoit, M. Motevalli and M. Watkinson, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2004, 2, 2664.
- 20 B. M. Kim, S. M. So and H. J. Choi, Org. Lett., 2002, 4, 949 and references therein
- 21 (a) J. E. Richman Atkins, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1974, 96, 2268; (b) T. J. Atkins, J. E. Richman and W. F. Oettle, Org. Synth., 1976, 58, 86; CV6, 652; (c) R. C. Hoye, J. E. Richman, G. A. Dantas, M. F. Lightbourne and L. S. Shinneman, J. Org. Chem., 2001, 66, 2722.
- 22 (a) D. H. Busch, Acc. Chem. Res., 1978, 11, 392.
- 23 Reviews: (a) T. Ito, M. Kato, M. Yamashita and H. Ito, J. Coord. Chem., 1986, 15, 29; (b) S. Bhappacharya, R. Mukhrjee and A. Chakravorty, Inorg. Chem., 1986, 25, 3448 and references cited therein
- 24 M. Achmatowicz and J. Jurczak, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 2001, 12, 111 and references cited therein
- 25 (a) J. K. Mishra and G. Panda, Synthesis, 2005, 1881; (b) J. K. Mishra, J. S. Rao, G. N. Sastry and G. Panda, Tetrahedron Lett., 2006, 47, 3357;

(d) Shagufta and G. Panda, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2007, 5, 360; (d) J. K. Mishra and G. Panda, J. Comb. Chem., 2007, 9, 321; (e) J. K. Mishra, P. Garg, P. Dohare, A. Kumar, M. I. Siddiqi, M. Ray and G. Panda, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2007, 17, 1326; (f) A. K. Srivastava and G. Panda, Chem.–Eur. J., 2008, 14, 4675; (g) A. K. Srivastava, S. K. Das and G. Panda, Tetrahedron, 2009, 65, 5322; (h) M. K. Parai and G. Panda, Tetrahedron Lett., 2009, 50, 4703; (i) K. Samanta, B. Chakravarti, J. K. Mishra, S. K. D. Dwivedi, L. V. Nayak, P. Choudhry, H. K. Bid, R. Konwar, N. Chattopadhyay and G. Panda, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2010, 20, 283; (j) J. K. Mishra, K. Samanta, M. Jain, M. Dikshit and G. Panda, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2010, 20, 244;  $(k)$  S. K. Das, A. K. Srivastava and G. Panda, Tetrahedron Lett., 2010, 51, 1483; (l) K. Samanta and G. Panda, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2010, 8, 2823; (m) K. Samanta and G. Panda, Chem.–Asian J., 2011, 6, 189; (n) S. Bera, K. Samanta and G. Panda, Tetrahedron Lett., 2011, 52, 3234. Download the species of th

- 26 I. Koppel, J. Kippel, F. Degerbeck, L. Grehn and V. Ragnarsson, J. Org. Chem., 1991, 56, 7172.
- 27 (a) O. Mitsunobu, Synthesis, 1981, 1; (b) K. C. Kumara Swamy, N. N. Bhuvan Kumar, E. Balaraman and K. V. P. Pavan Kumar, Chem. Rev., 2009, 109, 2551.
- 28 S. C. Bergmeier and P. P. Seth, Tetrahedron Lett., 1999, 40, 6181.
- 29 S. V. O'Neil, Y. Wang, M. C. Laufersweiler, K. A. Oppong, D. L. Soper, J. A. Wos, C. D. Ellis, M. W. Baize, G. K. Bosch, A. N. Fancher, W. Lu, M. K. Suchanek, R. L. Wang, B. De and T. P. Demuth Jr., Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2005, 15, 5434.
- 30 M. Sunkur, D. Baris, H. Hosgoren and M. Togrul, J. Org. Chem., 2008, 73, 2570 and reference cited therein
- 31 M. Togrul, M. Askinb and H. Hosgorena, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 2005, 16, 2771.
- 32 L. Ai, J. Xiao, X. Shen and C. Zhang, Tetrahedron Lett., 2006, 47, 2371.
- 33 C-F Chow, M. H. W. Lam and W. Y. Wong, Inorg. Chem., 2004, 43, 8387.
- 34 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., T. Vreven, K. N. Kudin, J. C. Burant, J. M. Millam, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, M. Cossi, G. Scalmani, N. Rega, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, M. Klene, X. Li, J. E. Knox, H. P. Hratchian, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, P. Y. Ayala, K. Morokuma, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, V. G. Zakrzewski, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, M. C. Strain, O. Farkas, D. K. Malick, A. D. Rabuck, K. Raghavachari, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, Q. Cui, A. G. Baboul, S. Clifford, J. Cioslowski, B. B. Stefanov, G. Liu, A. Liashenko, P. Piskorz, I. Komaromi, R. L. Martin, D. J. Fox, T. Keith, M. A. Al-Laham, C. Y. Peng, A. Nanayakkara, M. Challacombe, P. M. W. Gill, B. Johnson, W. Chen, M. W. Wong, C. Gonzalez, J. A. Pople, GAUSSIAN 03, REVISION D.02, Gaussian, Inc, Wallingford, CT, 2004